The Utility of The Toronto System of Dental **Diagnostic Codes (TSDDC) to Determine** Prevalence of Conditions and to Document **Changes in Diagnoses** Kalyani K. Baldota

Need for Diagnostic Codes

- Entering, extracting, and processing disease information in databases of EDRs
- Standardization of EDR
- Health services research related to quality assurance



- Developed for pediatric dental program at former city of NY's PHD, 1997
- Four digit ,numeric system
- 124 terms

Purpose

The purpose of my study was to examine the electronic records of Toronto Public Health dental services over six years of use (1997 to 2002) in order to evaluate the utility of the TSDDC to document morbidity, comorbidity, and changes in diagnoses

Study Population

- North Region of Toronto
- School children identified with unmet needs for dental care by the annual screening program

Methods

Data Management : Syntax (SPSS 12.0)

- 11 major diagnostic groups
- 7 caries categories
- 9 related services
- Aggregated to 1 record/patient

Methods contd.

- Frequencies of all 11 conditions, 7 caries categories were generated
- Comorbidities were documented
- Numbers of patients with changed diagnoses were calculated

Results

Data management

1.5 million records of services →
 25 860 patient records

5 school years

Prevalence

Diagnostic Condition (Descending order of prevalence)	Year 1 (1997-98)	Year 2 (1998-99)	Year 3 (1999-00)	Year 4 (2000-01)	Year 5 (2001-02)
Total caries	77.7	80.9	81.7	79.5	80.5
Smooth surface dentinal caries	57.8	62.9	60.0	62.9	64.5
Pit fissure dentinal caries	35.6	38.1	42.1	41.7	37.4
Smooth surface enamel caries	6.0	7.8	6.6	1.4	0.8
Pit fissure enamel caries	1.9	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.3
Early white lesion on smooth surface	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.7
Early white lesion on pit and fissure	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.3
Arrested caries	2.0	1.3	1.2	0.6	0.6
Deposits	30.3	33.5	35.7	39.7	36.8
Developmental disturbances	23.1	19.4	14.5	15.2	17.0
Gingivitis and/or periodontitis	11.0	10.4	11.9	10.9	12.0
Defects in existing restorations	9.8	9.0	10.3	9.1	8.9
Bite malocclusion	4.6	4.6	5.4	6.4	6.1
Other malocclusion	4.0	4.1	5.1	6.4	5.0
Pulpal disorders	2.6	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.1
Fluorosis	1.6	1.2	1.4	2.3	1.9
Other conditions not mentioned elsewhere	0.8	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3
Luxation due to trauma	2.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
Total number of patients with at least one diagnostic code (N) = 100%	6048	6325	7148	7543	7757

Biconditional comorbidities

	EWs	EWp	SSe	PFe	SSd	PFd	Ac	DRt	Dev	Flu	Dep	Pul	GiP	Tra	Oth	Bit	MOc
EWs	252	3	12	5	188	116	7	46	80	15	130	11	59	1	2	27	34
EWp		162	15	1	100	90	7	20	54	7	62	8	37	2	2	11	17
SSe			1417	36	1016	827	12	233	357	34	441	58	204	10	7	99	81
PFe				298	189	147	4	43	111	9	129	11	56	7	5	19	48
SSd					16536	9023	308	2465	4244	382	5803	608	2809	114	87	1396	1200
PFd						12669	237	1855	3270	324	4595	443	2548	112	63	1219	947
Ac							398	89	127	9	127	8	44	10	8	32	22
DRt								3161	957	118	1220	173	648	42	27	327	329
Dev									6112	215	2653	224	1128	59	35	609	528
Flu										585	284	34	103	7	4	118	116
Dep											10895	281	2996	57	62	799	841
Pul												670	141	8	6	112	109
Gip													3905	44	24	458	413
Tra														184	9	10	10
Oth															133	13	20
Bit																1899	574
MOc																	1715

Comorbidities cont'd.

All years				2001-02			
Comorbidity	Frequency	Percent	Rank	Comorbidity	Frequency	Percent	
1000000000	8931	34.5	1	10000000000	3013	38.8	
00005000000	2813	10.9	2	00005000000	786	10.1	1 st digi
10005000000	2281	8.8	3	10005000000	660	8.5	Ū
1010000000	1957	7.6	4	1010000000	482	6.2	
1100000000	1205	4.7	5	10005010000	389	5.0	5 th digi
10005010000	1078	4.2	6	11000000000	268	3.5	
10105000000	759	2.9	7	0010000000	255	3.3	
0010000000	628	2.4	8	10105000000	201	2.6	
10000010000	411	1.6	9	00005010000	142	1.8	
1000000010	409	1.6	10	10000000010	122	1.6	
00005010000	348	1.3	11	10000010000	115	1.5	
10105010000	296	1.1	12	10000000001	99	1.3	
1000000001	294	1.1	13	00105000000	81	1.0	
00105000000	262	1.0	14	10105010000	78	1.0	
11005000000	243	0.9	15	10005000010	64	0.8	
11005010000	205	0.8	16	0100000000	61	0.8	
11100000000	184	0.7	17	11005000000	58	0.7	
10000100000	170	0.7	18	10005000001	53	0.7	
0100000000	166	0.6	19	10000000011	52	0.7	
10005000001	147	0.6	20	11005010000	46	0.6	
10005000010	138	0.5	21	10000100000	38	0.5	
1000000011	113	0.4	22	1001000000	36	0.5	
1001000000	111	0.4	23	11100000000	34	0.4	
1010000010	109	0.4	24	10100000010	24	0.3	
11105000000	95	0.4	25	11000010000	22	/ 0.3	
	23353	90.3			7179	92.5	

1st digit = caries

5th digit = deposits

Comorbidities cont'd.

- 1. 21/25 conditions = comorbidities (42-46%)
- 2. Maximum 4 conditions
- Dominance of dental caries followed by deposits
 - ◆ 2/21 ≠ caries
 - ♦ 9/21 ≠ deposits

Objective Two (p.79)

Year 1 (1997-98)	Cases with diagnoses	6048
	Cases with subsequent diagnoses	2464
	Cases with changed subsequent diagnoses	1736 (70%)
Year 2 (1998-99)	Cases with diagnoses	6325
	Cases with subsequent diagnoses	1645
	Cases with changed subsequent diagnoses	1087 (66%)
Year 3 (1999-2000)	Cases with diagnoses	7148
	Cases with subsequent diagnoses	1602
	Cases with changed subsequent diagnoses	1063 (66%)
Year 4 (2000-01)	Cases with diagnoses	7543
	Cases with subsequent diagnoses	1264
	Cases with changed subsequent diagnoses	888 (70%)
Year 5 (2001-02)	Cases with diagnoses	7663
	Cases with subsequent diagnoses	38
	Cases with changed subsequent diagnoses	19 (50%)



Smooth surface dentinal Caries

Diagnoses of smooth	Better	Health	Disease	Worse	Number of	Total patients
surface dental caries		maintained	maintained		patients seen	who received
					in year 2	indicated
						procedure year 1
N	88	60	929	14	1091	
Procedures received in						
year 1(1997-98)						
Topical fluoride	77	44	751	13	885	2674
application						
Restorations	70	18	641	4	733	2851
Topical fluoride	12	6	131	3	152	258
application but no						
restorations						

Conclusion

TSDDC useful to report burden of illness
 Facilitate illustration of dental comorbidities
 Software needed for processing diagnostic information in TSDDC and generating reports

Limitations

- Secondary data analysis, confounding factors: inter/intra operator variability
- Not all conditions included
 - a) only higher prevalence
 - b) early stages of disease not considered

Recommendations

- Review vocabulary
- Application at other locations (Faculty, Insurance Claims)
- Economic evaluation for further development

Implications for PH

Continued use

- Prevalence and incidence data
- Collect information on comorbidities among dental conditions
- Quality assurance

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Toronto Public Health

Advisory Committee
James Leake (Supervisor)
Fred Goettler
Patricia Main
James Anderson

Supported research.....

